

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/673,925	09/30/2003	Avi Zahavi	1756	7371	
7590 03/08/2005			EXAMINER		
Edward Langer			MORRISON, THOMAS A		
c/o Shiboleth, Yisraeli, Roberts, Zisman & Co. 60th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
350 Fifth Avenue			3653		
New York, NY 10118			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/673,925	ZAHAVI, AVI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication com	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	╛
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
·	x parte Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	75 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
'Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/24/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In particular, the specification fails to describe the recited surface contact portion.

The specification also fails to clearly point out which disclosed element constitutes the surface contact portion.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 3 and their dependent claims 2 and 4, there is insufficient structural relationship recited between the clamping portion, the roller and the elevating means in claims 1 and 3, to understand how the clamping portion is

Art Unit: 3653

positioned at a given distance from the roller when the roller and the surface-contact portion are in contact with the surface of the slip-sheet, and the clamping portion is in contact with the roller when the roller and the surface-contact portion are not in contact with the surface of the slip-sheet, as claimed.

For claims 1 and 3, it is also unclear when the clamping portion is in contact with the roller. More specifically, Figs. 1-3 show that either (1) the clamping portion is not in contact with the roller (bottom of Fig. 1) or (2) the slip sheet is captured between the clamping portion and the roller (i.e., the sheet separates the clamping portion from the roller). In fact, the claimed contact between the clamping portion and the roller appears to be inaccurate. Rather, page 10, lines 11-15 of the instant application appears to disclose that the paper is clamped between the clamping portions 45 and the roller 20 when the knees 35 of clamps 30 are detached from the paper.

Regarding claims 1 and 3, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "rotating" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3653

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glassby. In particular, Glassby discloses all of the limitations of claim 1.

Regarding claim 1, Figs. 1-3 show a slip-sheet picking and discarding mechanism including

a roller (8) having a longitudinal dimension (Fig. 2) spanning the width of the slipsheet (13);

rotating means (including 9, 6 and 7) connected with the roller (8).

at least one clamping means (including 3) arranged along the longitudinal dimension of the roller (8), each at least one clamping means (3) having a clamping portion (3' in Fig. 1) and a surface-contact portion (bottom surface of 3); and

elevating means (column 3,lines 6-13 and Fig. 3) for elevating the roller (8) and the at least one clamping means (including 3), wherein the clamping portion (3' in Fig. 1) is positioned at a given distance from the roller (8) when the roller (8) and the surface-contact portion (bottom surface of 3) are in contact with the surface of the slipsheet (13) (Fig. 1 shows the given distance of the clamping portion from the roller), and

wherein the clamping portion (3' in Fig. 1) is in contact with the roller (8) when the roller and the surface-contact portion (3') are not in contact with the surface of the slip-sheet (13). Regarding the recited rotating means, the arrangement of elements 6, 7 and 9 causes the roller (8) to rotate when the roller (8) is lowered onto slip sheet (13).

Application/Control Number: 10/673,925 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 2, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glassby as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Larsen et al. In particular, Glassby in view of Larsen et al. meets all of the limitations of claim 2. Glassby discloses all of the limitations of claim 2, except for the disposal means for disposing the slip-sheet.

Larsen et al. discloses that it is well known to provide a slip-sheet picking and discarding mechanism (100) with disposal means (including 160, 162 and 164) for disposing slip sheets (28). Larsen et al. explains that the drive rollers (160, 162) rotate in opposite directions to pull the slip sheet 28 downward into a bin for collection. See Fig. 13 and column 6, lines 38-45. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to provide the Glassby slip-sheet picking and discarding mechanism with disposal means in order to easily collect the slip sheets, as taught by Larsen et al.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Morrison whose telephone number is 703-305-0554. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,925 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DOWNER WOUNDS